

CHORLEY LIAISON

WEDNESDAY, 18TH OCTOBER 2017, 6.30 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBER, TOWN HALL, CHORLEY

AGENDA

APOLOGIES

- | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | MINUTES OF MEETING WEDNESDAY, 19 JULY 2017 OF CHORLEY LIAISON | (Pages 3 - 10) |
| 2 | WELCOME BY THE CHAIR | |
| 3 | ITEM FROM THE WORK PROGRAMME: FEEDBACK ON THE IRONMAN EVENT | (Pages 11 - 12) |
| | <p>At the last meeting it was agreed that feedback on the event be requested from all of the Town and Parish Councils and that a collective response be agreed at this meeting to be sent to Ironman.</p> <p>Feedback received in advance of the meeting is enclosed.</p> | |
| 4 | ITEM FROM THE WORK PROGRAMME: FUNDING FOR SERVICES UPDATE | (Pages 13 - 14) |
| | <p>At the last meeting it was agreed that funding arrangements for services, such as bus services and libraries be considered at this meeting.</p> <p>An update from is enclosed.</p> | |
| 5 | ITEM REQUESTED BY HESKIN PARISH COUNCIL: SPEEDING TRAFFIC AND OTHER VILLAGES SOLUTIONS | |
| | <p>Heskin has two long stretches of straight road. Speed limits vary between 30 & 40 mph, but at times traffic can be doing 70/80 mph. Any ideas/ help / experience other Councils have would be appreciated.</p> <p>The Chair will facilitate a discussion.</p> | |
| 6 | ITEM REQUESTED BY ECCLESTON PARISH COUNCIL: CONFLICT BETWEEN A PLANNING PERMISSION AND THE GRANTING OF A LICENCE | (Pages 15 - 18) |
| | <p>Details of the query and a response are enclosed with the agenda.</p> | |
| 7 | ITEM REQUESTED BY EUXTON PARISH COUNCIL: LICENSING | (Pages 19 - 22) |
| | <p>Details of the query and a response are enclosed with the agenda.</p> | |

8 **ITEMS REQUESTED BY ANDERTON PARISH COUNCIL**

(Pages 23 - 24)

Details of the query and a response are enclosed with the agenda.

9 **ITEM REQUESTED BY CLAYTON-LE-WOODS PARISH COUNCIL:
RESIDENTS PARKING ON PAVEMENTS ALONG PRESTON ROAD
NEAR BACK LANE PR6**

It has been noted that residents have received a dispensation to park their vehicles on the pavement along the Preston Road where there is no off-road parking available. This causes a lack of mobility and danger for pedestrians/disabled residents on a 40 mile an hour road. The council's proposal would be to widen the pavement and narrow the road to give pedestrians a safe route when the vehicles are parked. Please can this matter be investigated?

The Parish Council have been advised to report this as a service request to Lancashire County Council.

10 **QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE LIAISON AND THE PUBLIC**

In order to allow members of the Liaison and members of the public to raise issues of local concern, a period of 20 minutes has been set aside.

A member of the public may speak for no more than 3 minutes. Members of the public are not required to give notice of the issue they intend to raise, although it is expected in the case of service issues that the appropriate mechanisms for resolving the issue have been explored.

Where a question is raised which cannot be answered at the meeting, a record will be kept by officers supporting the meeting and it will be responded to via the appropriate mechanism.

11 **ITEMS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS**

(Pages 25 - 26)

A schedule setting out deadlines for items to be requested on the agenda for the Chorley Liaison and dates for future meetings is enclosed.

12 **ANY URGENT BUSINESS PREVIOUSLY AGREED WITH THE CHAIR**

GARY HALL
CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Agendas sent to Members of the Chorley Liaison,

If you need this information in a different format, such as larger print or translation, please get in touch on 515151 or chorley.gov.uk



MINUTES OF CHORLEY LIAISON

MEETING DATE **Wednesday, 19 July 2017**

MEMBERS PRESENT: Councillor Gordon France (Eastern Parishes) (Chair), Councillor Kim Snape (Chorley Rural East, LCC) (Vice-Chair),
Lancashire County Councillors: Steve Holgate (Chorley Central) and Aidy Riggott (Euxton, Buckshaw & Astley),
Chorley Borough Councillors (Chairs of Neighbourhood Area Meetings): Alistair Morwood (Chorley Town East), Anthony Gee (Chorley Town West) and Eric Bell (Clayton and Whittle)
Town and Parish Councillors: Timothy Summers (Adlington), Jane Curley (Anglezarke), Ian Horsfield (Anderton), Barbara Farbon (Bretherton), Alan Cornwell (Charnock Richard), Ray Ormston (Clayton-Le-Woods), Charlie Bromilow (Clayton-Le-Woods), Jean Cronshaw (Clayton-Le-Woods), Christine Bailey (Clerk to Heath Charnock), Malcolm Allen (Heapey), Peter Lloyd (Heskin), Mervyn Holden (Heskin), Marel Urry (Hoghton) and Steven Perry (Withnell)

OFFICERS: Jamie Carson (Director (Early Intervention and Support)), Mark Lester (Director (Business, Development and Growth)), Martin Sample (Private Sector Housing Officer) and Ruth Rimmington (Democratic and Member Services Officer)

APOLOGIES: Lancashire County Councillors: Julia Berry (Chorley South) and Andrew Snowden (Hoghton with Wheelton)
Chorley Borough Councillors: Graham Dunn (Southeast Parishes), Danny Gee (Euxton, Astley and Buckshaw), Alan Whittaker (Southern Parishes) and Alistair Bradley (Chorley Town) Town and Parish Councillors: Laura Lennox (Astley Village Parish Councillor), John Taylor (Charnock Richard) and Terry Dickenson (Wheelton)

17.115 Welcome by the Chair

The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting.

17.116 Minutes of meeting Wednesday, 15 March 2017 of Chorley Liaison

AGREED – That the minutes of the last meeting held on 15 March 2017 be confirmed as a correct record.



Jamie Carson, Director (Early Intervention and Support), advised that requested at 17.113 "Questions from Members of the Liaison and the public" ABL Health had been requested to promote their weight management services.

Jamie Carson, Director (Early Intervention and Support), updated on the Social Isolation item from Adlington Town Council: 17.110. A number of Town and Parish Councils had already responded and a request had been received to extend the deadline to respond which had been agreed. Jamie will write to the clerks with further details and the potential to work with a number of Parishes on this project. It was noted that the Chorley Consolidated Charities might be able to give some assistance and it was AGREED that it would be useful to have further information about the charity.

17.117 Update on Primrose Gardens

Mark Lester, Director (Business, Development and Growth), gave a presentation, which can be found here: <https://democracy.chorley.gov.uk/documents/s78037/Primrose%20Gardens%20Retirement%20Living%20Powerpoint%20July%202017.pdf>

In response to queries Mark noted that CCTV provision will link up with the Town Centre CCTV. The Allocations Policy will be drafted shortly, and will consider local need and priority. One guest room will be available for people visiting family and friends at the development.

There will be 46 car parking spaces available within the development.

The Chair thanked Mark for the presentation and AGREED that further updates be brought to the meeting.

17.118 Services for customers requiring home adaptations

Martin Sample, Housing Team Leader (Private Sector), gave a short presentation, which can be found here: <https://democracy.chorley.gov.uk/documents/s78038/19%2007%2017%20Chorley%20Liaison%20on%20Home%20Adaptation%20services.pdf>

Martin confirmed that Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) are no longer means tested.

The Chair thanked Martin for the presentation.

17.119 Item requested by Heath Charnock Parish Council

Heath Charnock Parish Council expressed concerns in previous years and in its recent meetings about access for residents, particularly living on or close to Babylon Lane, where the Lane was closed with access permitted during the Ironman Race at safe times. This caused a lot of disruption for local residents and anxiety for older people who need access for family and carers to support them. Given the need to close Babylon Lane, the Parish Council believes the question of the appropriateness



of the route and safety requirements should be considered again for future races from 2018 onwards.

Representatives from other Town and Parish Councils expressed concern about the Ironman event and it was AGREED that feedback on the event be requested from all of the Town and Parish Councils and that a response be agreed at the next meeting to be sent to Ironman.

17.120 Item requested by Adlington Town Council

Adlington Town Council request that Parkwise enforcement is increased in Adlington to reduce the amount of nuisance parking occurring in the village, and that visits are made at all times of day particularly when the village is at its busiest (school times for example). Particular problems were being experienced by disabled residents as access through the village for pedestrians was being restricted by inconsiderate and dangerous parking.

Councillor Kim Snape advised that she had received correspondence from Lancashire County Council stating that the areas where the double yellow lines needed to be repainted will be renewed and that, following this, enforcement will commence.

It was suggested that incidents of inconsiderate parking were logged by calling 101.

Adlington Town Council questioned why it took a long time for any action to be taken when potentially dangerous problems were reported to Lancashire County Highways. A recent example of this was subsidence which had appeared in the pavement in the centre of the village. Initially reported 5 June, two cones had appeared on 20 June.

Councillor Kim Snape advised that the subsidence had now been repaired and undertook to check what the response times ought to be.

17.121 Item requested by Croston Parish Council - Traffic Issues

No representative from Croston attended the meeting, but the Chair advised that the Parish Council had queried what, if anything, could be done to help alleviate perceived problems with speeding traffic through villages and HGV's using roads which were no longer suitable.

A formal response would be requested from Lancashire County Council.

17.122 Questions from Members of the Liaison and the public

Ian Horsfield, Anderton, queried progress on the development of funding models for the provision of services. Jamie Carson advised that these were on hold at the moment and that the Council had met with LCC the previous week. The Chorley Council funding arrangements for the subsidised bus services would be considered by the Executive shortly. It was AGREED that an item on funding be considered at the next meeting.



Steven Perry, Withnell, advised that some recycling bins were overflowing. Jamie requested that any missed collections be reported to the contact centre (contact@chorley.gov.uk, 01257 515151 or via the My Account function on the Council's website).

Marel Urry queried progress on a reduction in the speed limit on Hoghton Lane. This would be taken up with LCC.

It was noted that Cabinet report had been published relating to the closure of Libraries within Lancashire. The details can be found here: <http://council.lancashire.gov.uk/mglIssueHistoryHome.aspx?lId=63888>

17.123 Items for Future Meetings

The next meeting would be held on Wednesday, 18 October 2017. Any requests for items should be sent to Ruth Rimmington (ruth.rimmington@chorley.gov.uk or 01257 515118) by Friday, 29 September.

17.124 Any urgent business previously agreed with the Chair

Jamie Carson, Director (Early Intervention and Support), advised that Chorley Council had launched a consultation on the introduction of Public Space Protection Orders (PSPO) for the Town Centre and Coppull. The PSPO is a new power that will replace the Designated Public Places Order (DPPO) creating more flexibility within the Order so that ASB can be tackled more effectively. The consultation will be extended to ensure that all Town and Parish Councils can respond.

Chair

Date

Date: 22 August 2017
Your Ref: Chorley Liaison

Jo Turton
Chief Executive
Lancashire County Council

By email jo.turton@lancashire.gov.uk

Dear Jo

CHORLEY LIAISON QUERIES

At the meeting in July several queries were raised in relation to LCC services. Would it be possible to have a response by Monday, 9 October?

Adlington Town Council requested that Parkwise increase enforcement within the Town to reduce the amount of nuisance parking occurring in the village, and that visits are made at all times of day particularly when the village is at its busiest (school times for example). Particular problems are being experienced by disabled residents as access through the village for pedestrians is being restricted by inconsiderate and dangerous parking.

Adlington Town Council also question why it is taking a long time for any action to be taken when potentially dangerous problems are reported to Lancashire County Highways. A recent example of this is subsidence which has appeared in the pavement in the centre of the village. Initially reported 5 June, two cones appeared on 20 June.

Croston Parish Council queried what, if anything, can be done to help alleviate perceived problems with speeding traffic through villages and HGV's using roads which are no longer suitable.

Hoghton Parish Council queried progress on a reduction in the speed limit on Hoghton Lane.

Yours sincerely

Ruth Rimmington
Democratic and Member Services Officer
Chorley Council
ruth.rimmington@chorley.gov.uk
01257 515118



01257 515151



chorley.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Ruth Rimmington

Subject: FW: ED37710: Letter to LCC with queries

From: Highways District Lead Officer [<mailto:highwaysdistrictlead@lancashire.gov.uk>]

Sent: 04 October 2017 09:24

To: Janette Modlin

Subject: ED37710: Letter to LCC with queries

Dear Ms Modlin

I refer to your email of 28 July. Please accept my apologies for the delay in providing this response. Please see below responses for the issues raised

- 1) **Item requested by Adlington Town Council** - Parking Services will shortly be visiting Adlington along with other small towns around Chorley to carry out enforcement action. Times and days are not released as this may prejudice the enforcement however our contractors will be visiting the outlying areas of Chorley in the very near future. It should be noted that all areas require enforcement at school times however given the amount of schools it is not always possible to have a presence at those times.
- 2) **Item requested by Adlington Town Council** – It is the county councils responsibility to maintain a safe highway and all reports are inspected and the appropriate action is taken. All reported defects are attended to within our service response timeframe of 20 working days anything that is an emergency which will present a danger to highway users is dealt with the same day.
- 3) **Item requested by Croston Parish Council** - Current records do not reveal a HGV related road safety issue within the villages of Croston or Bretherton. There have been no HGV related collision incidents recorded within the past 5 years and previous traffic counts undertaken in 2014 do not show that HGV traffic makes up a high percentage of vehicles using the village (5 to 7%).

Croston and Bretherton are villages situated on A/B roads which form primary routes between local settlements as defined below:

- **A roads** – major roads intended to provide large-scale transport links within or between areas.
- **B roads** – roads intended to connect different areas, and to feed traffic between A roads and smaller roads on the network.

It is common throughout the local and wider network for such link routes to pass through populated areas such as Town and Villages and these areas feature reduced speed limits to reflect the change in environment. Bretherton currently features a 30mph speed limit to reflect it's built up nature whilst Croston benefits from a lower 20mph limit to reflect its particular carriageway characteristics. Permitted parking within the village further reduces speed by introducing the necessity for vehicles to give way to opposing traffic flows.

The route through both villages currently does not feature carriageway structural limitations at any points which would support the introduction of a weight restriction to disallow access to particular vehicle categories.

The absence of HGV related incidents within these areas indicates that no identified road safety concerns currently exist and that the roads are currently working satisfactorily with no concerns regarding traffic flows and categories which would necessitate the installation of further highway measures.

- 4) In April 2017 new procedures were introduced for the progression of Traffic Regulation Orders (TRO) to achieve efficiencies in the consultation and advertisement of regular orders which are not a direct result of a defined and evidenced road safety concern. This involves producing a monthly order for changes across the county. The Hoghton Road speed limit revision was submitted as part of a speed limit package during July 2017 and included in the TRO package for consultation during September.

Kind regards
Emma

Emma Lane
District Lead Officer
Lancashire County Council
Community Services
Tel: 0300 123 6780
e-mail: highways@lancashire.gov.uk
www.lancashire.gov.uk

Kevin Parkinson from Chorley Highways & Traffic Team at LCC submitted the following:

The Traffic Team are not aware of any issues during this year's event.

Amanda Meldrum from Highway Regulation Officer at LCC submitted the following:

One response was received via Lyndsey Hoyle.

Heath Charnock Parish Council submitted the following:

HCPC is not opposed to Ironman but would appreciate a review of the times that the roads are closed. Babylon Lane is closed in total for 11 hours from 6am. Rawlinson Lane is closed 2.5 hours later from 8.30am. There does not seem to be any consistency in these road closure times. By the time participants reach Babylon Lane they are well spaced out and are not riding as a peleton.

Ironman have produced a plan showing egress from Babylon Lane via Sutton Lane to Chorley Road but no way to access Babylon Lane on return as roads have one-way traffic flow. This means that Babylon Lane is closed for 11 hours in total with little sympathy for residents.

Ironman have replied by email to access and egress queries in an unsatisfactory manner, for example:

- a. Leave your car overnight in Sutton Lane to be able to get out on Sunday, but with this there is no way of return and not everyone wants to park their car on the street overnight from late on Saturday evening
- b. Proceed down Babylon Lane with hazard lights on, one way via Sutton lane and Chorley Road. When a Parishioner did this he was approached by a race marshall, who said he had no knowledge of the advice from Ironman organisers to use hazard warning lights
- c. On returning from holiday on the day of the 2017 race, the Chair of the Parish Council was advised by Ironman national email service to park the car on Long Lane at around 2pm and walk home, returning back to the car after 5pm. This is inconsiderate and Babylon Lane residents annually endure a day of inconvenience to allow the race to be held.

HCPC propose that Babylon Lane should be open to traffic in one direction all day for movement from the top of the Lane to the bottom for the duration of the event. This will give access for all, as Ironman allow other local roads to be used on this basis.

The other option would be to consider a change of route (perhaps in different years) to spread the inconvenience around possibly from the A6 near Fredericks Ice-cream shop to Grimesford Lane, New Road and re-joining the course at Horrobin Lane.

We would be grateful if these and other ideas could be discussed both by other Parish Council's, Chorley Council and Lancashire County Councils please to review the route and local impact on residents of hosting the Ironman race on an annual basis.

Adlington Town Council submitted the following:

Although there are some positives for the village and local businesses the following problems need to be addressed:

- the quality of marshalling had been improving over time, but the standard was felt to be very low this year, with traffic and cycling disruption problems observed on Westhoughton Road and other locations. It was agreed that marshal training needs to be prioritised
- clear instructions need to be followed by marshals regarding carers' access
- as most of the cycling section of the event takes place in Lancashire some recognition of this should be included in the promotion and name of the event

Anderton Parish Council submitted the following:

We discussed the Iron Man event at our latest parish council meeting. The response from residents this year seems to have been divided between “oh no not again” (those who feel restricted by free car access to and from their property) and those who say “it’s only for one day”. It was also generally felt that the crowds/ organisers (mainly in Babylon Lane) had behaved more responsibly and had cleared up any mess prior to dispersing. It was noted that some more local businesses were now taking advantage of the influx of visitors. It would be interesting to get a businesses view about this.

I don’t think people are generally against this event but one consistent view that seems to come across is that it would be good if the route could be varied annually (or bi/tri-annually?) so that the same communities don’t suffer the same restrictions, road closures and inconvenience year after year. I know in the past the Iron Man organisers have said this is too difficult but perhaps this is an aspect on which they could try harder to accommodate when discussing with the highways authority.

Whittle-le-Woods Parish Council submitted the following:

- 1 There were no details on the application to close the highway to traffic on Town Lane in Whittle Le Woods. But they closed the road anyway.
- 2 Dark Lane and Copthurst Lane were defined as being in Wheelton and not Whittle Le Woods.
- 3 Insufficient signage for road closure at the bottom of Town Lane.
- 4 When attempting to point these omissions to the road closure team there was delayed or no response to my query emails.
- 5 Next year I would like to discuss the Town Lane problems with the Ironman road closure manager prior to the licence application being made. My intension to help, not hinder the event.

The council (W L W) does approve and welcome the event to our parish but the above details do need to be addressed for next year.

It is a great event that's much enjoyed by people who turn out to watch it, and which gives skilled competitors a chance to compete.



LCC Transformation Update October 2017

Bus Services

Background

1. Lancashire County Council (LCC) is responsible for providing public transport services across the county. Following LCC's budget cuts and reductions in commercial services within the borough, Chorley Council stepped in to provide the funding to ensure that vital local bus services continued to operate whilst longer term options were developed.
2. Chorley Council has been funding the 24A/109A and 6/6A bus services since April 2016 when the council stepped in to fund these services following LCC's budget cuts.
3. Chorley Council has also been funding the temporary 7C service since February 2017, when the council stepped in to fund the service following the announcement of the withdrawal of the number 7 service by the commercial operator. The rationale for providing this funding was because the area now served by the 7C would have been left entirely without a bus service.

Update

4. A significant amount of work has been undertaken with local transport providers, Lancashire County Council and transport consultants to establish a model for providing services that reduce the amount of subsidy required whilst seeking to retain services to the areas covered by services supported by Chorley Council and developing them to become more sustainable.
5. Following the change in Administration at Lancashire County Council, discussions around future funding of the routes are taking place between LCC and Chorley Council regarding a cost sharing agreement. It is likely that Parish Council's along the routes will be contacted in the near future regarding contributions towards the funding of future services and more detail will be provided at the Chorley Liaison meeting.

Chorley Library Services

Background

6. Following the announcement that LCC was planning to close a number of libraries across the county, Chorley Council stepped in to provide funding to ensure that library services at Adlington, Coppull and Eccleston libraries remained open in Chorley.

7. However, a change in the Administration at Lancashire County Council has seen changes to the county policy with regards to libraries, and Chorley Council have been in discussions with LCC regarding future funding for the libraries.

Update

8. Lancashire County Council has confirmed that Adlington Library will continue to operate when current funding arrangements with Chorley Council come to an end in March 2018. LCC have also pledged to re-establish full library services in those libraries due to become satellites (Coppull and Eccleston). Further information can be found on the Lancashire County Council website by following this link - <http://www.lancashire.gov.uk/council/strategies-policies-plans/libraries-and-archives/reopening-libraries.aspx>
9. LCC have also announced a consultation on the pattern of opening hours they plan to allocate across the week to local libraries. Further information can be found on the Lancashire County Council consultation pages - <http://www3.lancashire.gov.uk/corporate/consultation/responses/response.asp?ID=332>
10. Chorley Council is continuing discussions regarding the funding position for 2017/18 and currently awaits an update from the LCC. The Chorley Council Health and Wellbeing Team are continuing to provide support to any 'friends of' groups within Chorley where needed, to ensure that they have the relevant support required to enable them to continue their work within the local community.

Conflict between a Planning Permission and the granting of a Licence

The Parish Council would like an item to clarify what should happen when there is an apparent conflict between a planning permission and the granting of a licence.

The example in question are recent licensing applications (LATEN) for The Greenhaus, 267B, The Green numbered 17/00698 and 17/00963 (I think they are the correct numbers but I can't be sure as your system doesn't seem to hold LATEN licences in the same way it stores premises licences).

Granting the licences led to a conflict with condition 4 of the permission for application 15/00775/FUL (decision notice attached) placed on the change of use application from retail to micro pub which was imposed to minimise disturbance to neighbouring properties and maintain parking areas.

Response from Lesley Miller, Regulatory Services Manager, Chorley Council

There is specific guidance in relation to the Licensing Act which means that a licence may be granted which does not have to mirror any planning consent, and vice versa.

Any conflict where there is a disparity between the two regimes would need to be dealt with by the appropriate enforcement of the legislation being breached at the time.

Thereby a premises may have a premises licence that covers licensable activities for a larger area (as in the case with The Greenhaus) or for different hours, than those specified in the planning consent. In which case it would be a planning enforcement matter, but is not a reason to review the premises licence, as in itself it does not necessarily constitute a failure to meet the Licensing objectives.

This situation exists to allow for a premises to apply for a premises licence or planning permission independently, and this may appear to be a deficiency in both licensing regimes, however, the guidance is clear that one cannot influence the other.

This page is intentionally left blank

PLANNING PERMISSION

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Applicant:

RH & RS Public House Limited
50 The Victoria Haigh Road Aspull Wigan WN2 1YA

Agent:

RH & RS Public House Limited
50 The Victoria Haigh Road Aspull Wigan WN2 1YA

Application Number	Date Received
15/00775/FUL	3 August 2015

The Development:

Change of use from retail unit (Use Class A1) to micro pub (Use Class A4)

Location:

Grocers on The Green 267B The Green Eccleston Chorley PR7 5TF

Chorley Borough Council (the Local Planning Authority) gives notice of its decision to grant **Planning Permission** for the development, subject to the following conditions -

1. The Development shall only be carried out in accordance with the approved plans, except as may otherwise be specifically required by any other condition of this permission.

Reason: To define the permission and in the interests of the proper development of the site.

2. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

Title	Drawing Reference	Received Date
Site Plan (location Plan)	2939-11-05A	26 th October 2015
Ground Floor Plan	2939-11-10 A	26 th October 2015

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

3. The proposed development must be begun not later than three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: Required to be imposed by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

4. No area for outside drinking or seating shall be created at the premises and no chairs and/or tables shall be placed outside.

Reason: To minimise the risk of disturbance to properties in the area and to maintain parking areas at the premises.

5. The use hereby permitted shall be restricted to the following hours:

- Between 4pm and 11pm Monday to Thursday inclusive
- Between 4pm and 12am (midnight) on Friday
- Between 12pm (noon) and 12am (midnight) on Saturday
- Between 12pm (noon) and 11pm on Sunday
- Between 12pm (noon) and 12am (midnight) on the three Sundays of the year prior to the two bank holidays in May and the one bank holiday in August
- Between 12pm (noon) and 1am the following morning on Christmas Eve and New Year's Eve.

Reason: To safeguard the amenities of local residents.

Please Note: The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraphs 186-187 of the NPPF.

This decision has been made having regard to the policies of the Development Plan which comprises the Regional Strategy and the Central Lancashire Core Strategy and all other relevant planning policy and guidance including National Policy (the Framework), emerging planning policies of the Central Lancashire LDF, the saved policies of the Adopted Chorley Borough Council Local Plan Review (2003), the Sustainable Resources Development Plan Document (2008), supplementary planning guidance and supplementary planning documents and Corporate document of the Council.

Signed:

Date: 5 November 2015

Chief Planning Officer, Chorley Council

Please read the notes attached to this notice with great care. They will help you to understand this decision, your rights and other things you may have to do

Chorley Liaison meeting 18 October 2017

Agenda item:

Firstly, let us make it clear the Parish Council is keen to support local businesses where possible however the financial benefit of a business should not come at a cost to the amenity of local residents.

The issue in question was the granting of a Temporary Entertainments Licence, to the Talbot pub, Balshaw Lane, Euxton for the Bank Holiday weekend evenings of 25, 26 and 27 August. Live music was performed in a marquee until 11:00pm for each of the three nights.

The Talbot is surrounded by private dwellings and little thought appeared to have been given to neighbouring properties in granting the licence. The marquee, due to the nature of its construction, did nothing to dampen the sound of the live music and we received comments from residents of all ages, but mainly elderly residents and those with young children who had 3 consecutive evenings of disturbance.

Unfortunately for music lovers, this culminated on the Sunday evening with a Robbie Williams tribute act who seemed to be the loudest of all. The original, once famously described as “the fat dancer from Take That” by one Liam Gallagher, would have been hard to stomach but this, at top volume, bordered on the tortuous and could be clearly heard as far away as Hillside Close.

We would ask in future the amenity of neighbouring residents is taken into account when licences of this nature are granted and the type of structure the events are to be held in is also considered. We would also ask the provision of noise level meters, which are set at a reasonable level and, should this be exceeded, power to the amplification system is cut, are made a condition of the granting of these licences.

This page is intentionally left blank

Response to Temporary Event Notice query

Further to the complaint that was raised by the Parish Council, I can provide the following information in relation to TEN's. A Temporary Event Notice, is just that a notice of intention to hold a one off event.

A TEN is a notification from the event organiser, as such it is not an application and only the police and Environmental Health can Object. The TEN's element of the Licensing Act is there to support and encourage events, which is why there is a limit to the duration of such events and also the number permitted in any given year, as a way of adding some measure of protection for the community. There is a presumption in the legislation that events will be permitted unless there is likely to be a serious breach of the licensing objectives.

As with any other enforcement activity those authorities have to have evidence to substantiate an objection. Where there have been complaints following the initial event, such as the event at the Talbot, this will be kept on record and any future events will be subject to discussion and potential objection if there is sufficient grounds of such. This will be dependent on the specifics of the proposed event at the time.

The Licensing regime does require that the 4 licensing objectives are met. Prevention of Nuisance and the Prevention of Crime and Disorder are the two that are applied most frequently in relation to noise and temporary events where the police may express concerns. And this is clearly a very important aspect of the controls that can be imposed where there is evidence that these are not being met.

We receive a large number of TEN's and as such do not routinely carry out monitoring of events if the notification has sufficient controls, or where we have not had previous issues (as in this case). However, where there have been issues previously we will both raise concerns with the police and the operator to ensure controls are in place for the future and also may conduct some monitoring if this is appropriate.

Noise limits would not be set for events that fall within a Temporary Event Notice (TEN), as we rarely do this for any premises, as a noise limit in itself, without the correct level of understanding may not afford the appropriate level of protection or can easily be misinterpreted. Thereby leaving the premises complying with the noise limit but still causing a nuisance to residents.

I hope this provides sufficient information for you.

Lesley Miller
Regulatory Services Manager
Chorley Council

This page is intentionally left blank

Queries from Anderton Parish Council

1) We would like to question the decision made at the parish clerk's meeting on the 13th July that future agendas for Liaison and Neighbourhood meetings will be sent to clerks rather than councillors. This is particularly strange in respect of the subsequent item in which Chorley Council will maintain records of who the nominated members for the respective meetings are. The current system of mailing agendas direct to members appears to work well and there doesn't appear to be a case for revision.

Response from Ruth Rimmington, Democratic and Member Services Officer, Chorley Council

I am happy to send the agendas to whoever the Parish nominate. It's not a problem for this to be on a case by case basis. Some Parishes send ad hoc representatives & so sending the agenda to the clerk is better for them. At the meeting other Parishes can request whatever is best for them.

2) The Parish Council is very concerned about the potential impact and piecemeal nature of the proposed highway changes (puffin crossing, pavement grow-outs, bus stop marking) to Bolton Road, Anderton between Rothwell Road and Rossendale Drive. LCC Highways have consulted on this but inform us that they are constrained by the planning obligations placed on the developers of the new housing development off Bolton Road. The Parish Council would like to ask if Chorley Council Development Control would consider re-negotiating the planning conditions with the developers with a view to enabling a more comprehensive and acceptable highways solution to be found.

A response will be given at the meeting.

This page is intentionally left blank



Chorley Liaison agenda management timetable

Date of meeting	Deadline for items to be requested by Members	Agenda Publication Deadline
Wed, 18 October 2017	Fri, 29 September	Wed, 11 October
Wed, 17 January 2018	Fri, 15 December	Wed, 10 January
Wed, 21 March 2018	Fri, 2 March	Wed, 14 March

Please contact Ruth Rimmington on 01257 515118 or email ruth.rimmington@chorley.gov.uk if you would like to request an item on the agenda.

Future agenda items

Digital Access and Inclusion

Integrated Community Wellbeing Service

Findings of the Overview and Scrutiny Task Group – Child Sexual Exploitation

Findings of the Overview and Scrutiny Task Group – Superfast Broadband

Boundary review for Chorley

This page is intentionally left blank